

Roar Mikalsen  
Fjellveien 21  
1458 fjellstrand

King Harald  
The Royal Court  
0010 Oslo

Nesodden the 5th October 2010

Dear King Harald.

I am writing this letter to you in regard with a criminal case I'm convicted in. You may be wondering what this has to do with you, but to make a long story short, we now demonstrably live in a police state, and as a result, it is my civic duty to inform you about the situation.

The reason for this startling assertion is that as a trial for offending me against the drug laws, all the way on my way through the justice system I have asserted that the drug laws are "criminal" laws, which means: laws that are in the same class as the homosexuality, religion and race laws previously did. I have in all court instances made it clear to everyone involved that drug laws are the result of a corrupt political process, and I have informed them that I wanted to take advantage of judicial (constitutional) review on the law to prove the claim.

(This judicial (constitutional) review is an essential core element in the rule of law, and it is further articulated in a number of conventions Norway has signed, such as the ECHR, 6 and 13 ECHR)

I have however, in all court instances, been denied a defense in my own case, and as the Supreme Court on 24 August chose to ignore the problem completely (they did not justify the decision with one word), it is now a fact that Norway as a rule of law is reduced to a police state.

That's because, according to human rights, I am entitled to have my case heard in an impartial, independent and competent legal court as long as I have made the above statement the current, and the only thing needed for that, as the accused, shall be take advantage of this trial court is that I can present a prosederbar assertion. There I handed over the Supreme Court of Human book Rising, but unfortunately chose the nation's top legal minds stop ignoring my request.

The judges of the Supreme Court could still not looking away from human rights in Norwegian law without also implicitly declaring us to be a police state, and this disclaimer is thus something that will have major consequences for the involved officers at a later date.

The Supreme Court has now in fact - as police and prosecutors before them - consistently failed to fulfill their duties and their responsibilities to their job description and the Norwegian people. The public officials that I've met on my way through the judicial process, all selected side by showing their loyalty to the police state rather than the rule of law, and since neither our Attorney General (who is also the responsibility for the situation) has shown the ability or willingness to take a showdown with the law, do I go about this to you.

In addition to being a talented person, why not also the nation's formal head, and as governmental representatives have so far shown himself to be willing supporters of the police state, goes to the reach you using your position to do what you can for a showdown with situation.

Our royal family has the long tradition of popular support, and it is now more important than ever, as our head, acting on your conscience and stated reject a policy that not only must be said to be our contemporary biggest mistake investing in punishment - but also one of the 19 century's greatest crimes against humanity in a global perspective.

Now it's in today's society is still so narkotikalovgivningens real social function and the consequence is a foreign thing to most, and it is thus understandable if, at the present time, set up some puzzled as to what I really mean by the above assertion . To give you a better understanding of the problem, therefore, I give you a copy of the book Human Rising.

This book shows how drug laws are the result of a corrupt political process and further documenting the adverse social consequences in the wake of the legislation. It also shows how drug law offenders against human rights in several areas, and the contents will give you good overview of the problem - the legal as well as the moral.

The book is on my part meant that the final settlement with the drug laws, and now that you have received it, you are also accountable to the case itself. My correspondence with governmental representatives proceeding from the books as well (see letter 1 and letter 2 with attachments), and from this you will also get a good overview of the state image associated with my case.

As you can see from the situation the book presents, it is of paramount importance that you now, as the nation's formal head, choose side in the case, for our public officials have not only cut a sorry figure even with its actions in the case, but also with its betrayal and its disclaimers, pulled Norway's name and reputation into the mud.

They could (and should) at an early stage in the judicial process made the decisions that the job description of their implied and been active contributors in getting a much needed rational and decent legal process on their feet, but they have not been interested in. State Power representatives have instead with his behavior, given the state power authority, a strong bias, since we are now, by definition, a police state.

(It is now proven fact that it is citizens who must prove its legitimacy before the law, and not vice versa, that would have been the case in a rational society - the rule of law.)

However, this is not a situation in which the involved officers can get lucky out of the long term, as the drug laws, in its actual function and impact, fills all the criteria for a crime against humanity. It is, like that seen today just a series of connected disclaimer from our public officials page that maintains law, and public officials who today choose to enforce it, despite its devastating effects on society drug is, according Nuremberg principle, criminally responsible for his complicity in this.

So it is now established legal principles which states that it is incumbent upon those corrupt officials a criminal offense, and that the further proceeding of the book I have also taken the consequences of their disclaimers and reported to the involved officers so far in the case to the International Criminal Court (ICC ) for their choice of perspective.

I understand that issue, in the current climate debate, may seem remote at first sight, but the drug laws real social function and effect can not much longer be kept hidden from the people. Today there are only a deliberate ignorance of our public officials page that maintains law and it is thus only a matter of time before the ICC and the Court (which is also involved) will have to judge in the case.

When the time comes to governmental authority get a new serious shot at the bow, if not the Norwegian government, within it, are able to bring representatives on the field who are able to protect human rights in Norwegian law. I am of course aware that the executive power now lies with the government, but this has not proven to be interested in looking more closely at the legislation which it stands in for and it falls when you, as the nation's formal head, to confront with the situation.

It is therefore up to you to make sure to get a decent legal process as quickly as possible on the court, and you have now - after you have become familiar with the book's claims - appear in both

word and action that your loyalty is to the people and the rule of law rather than to the police state.

What these steps in practice means I leave to you to decide, but it is currently around thousands of people in Norwegian prisons that have nothing to do there (if not governmental representatives can defend prohibition line), and it is therefore of paramount importance to clarify the drug laws effect on human rights violation function and impact once and for all. I expect that you, as our head, do what you can to get the independent and competent court I am entitled on its feet.

At the same time, I will take this opportunity to make you liable in relation to another crime campaign that the Norwegian government takes part in, and it is the War on Terror. As evidenced by the book as well, I have also, on my way through the courts, tried to confront the criminal nature of this campaign, but the court denied me this all the way.

The reason for my contention is that today it can be easily proved that the terrorist attacks in the United States on 11 September 2001 were committed by elements connected to the US's own state apparatus (for more on that, see for example [www.ae911truth.net](http://www.ae911truth.net)), and it goes without saying, from this fact that our complicity in the War on Terror could not possibly be justified. Yet we are currently involved in an attack war that was fueled by the war profiteers lies, and it falls to you now to take away from this campaign as well, if you have the desire to emerge with some credibility for the people and posterity.

So it is now two ways forward, and although I reckon you are your responsibility, I take this opportunity to say something more about what it means for you, for your part, choose to follow the attorney general and justice minister's footsteps:

As you understand from the reviews to the concerned persons, there are serious accusations directed against them. And since I do not in anyway want to expose you as a person (or your family) for the strain it means to stand out / be remembered as a traitor, I will here take the opportunity to say something more about the impact the image as attorney general and minister of justice so far responsible for, so you are fully aware of what the future has in store - and what the reviews actually means:

These officials are currently the responsibility in connection with the war against drugs and the war against the terror of social function and impact, and they will later on be held responsible for their choice of perspective. Thanks to these officials' disclaimers, it has been possible for the state power to hide the two criminal campaigns' nature somewhat longer than what would otherwise have been possible, and it is in this context, a lot of death, suffering and abuse that they later have to take their Part of the responsibility.

For the war on terrors part, its criminal nature itself is obvious when you know that the terrorist attacks of 11 September 2001 were committed by elements connected to the US's own state apparatus, and thanks to our politicians coward ness we are as a nation today morally complicit in the killing of a about a million Iraqis. To contribute to conceal this illegal attack war, is thus in itself a very weighty accusation, but in addition to these officials also accountable in relation to the drug laws adverse impact on the community fabric, and it is in itself nothing to bear light. Office of the Attorney General and Minister of Justice is in this area as of today, as the leading representatives of a policy that annually kills about 200 people, responsible for the continuation of a failed drug policy that has killed about 4,000 people since 1980, when it was clear to everyone who would see that something was terribly wrong with the prohibition line.

One can in fact today is not to say that the ban line has been fit for nothing but exacerbate the problem image associated with the illicit drug's prevalence in society, and this is death in the whole would have been avoided if we are at this time took the consequences of prohibition line inherent idiocy and walked over to a health policy approach. Our politicians elected at this time, however, to ignore common sense and overdose figures' clear voice, and intensified rather hunt

for users of illegal drugs, with terrible - but assuming - consequences (this goes on until the book content).

Our politicians must one day take its share of responsibility for this, but their defense can be said that they could be institutionalized form of a moral panic that made a rational view of the case difficult.

The public officials that are now pending for his contribution to the police state can be seen that way less fortunate out of the stupidities of the past, since they are part of an image we all must take responsibility for. But there's one thing to be part of an assault unit as long as one does not know better, and quite another to continue in this role after they are informed about the legislation anti-human social function and consequence.

For attorney general and justice minister's part, this means that, when the reckoning hour comes, may be relatively favorable out of the responsibility associated with the 4000 deaths one day they must take their share of the blame. But something else is it about the overdose deaths now take place after they are liable in the case. This is because of deaths in the whole, could easily have been avoided if we as a nation went into a health policy approach, and for each day that passes increases, therefore, these public officials explanation problem vigorously.

It does in this context also the criminal liability associated with their betrayal of the people, because of our helpless drug policy allowed to continue for another five years - that it will do about the Attorney General and the attorney general get what they want - will be around 1000 new overdose deaths could be attributed to these officials disclaimers and lust for power (assuming that things continue as at present).

So this is the deaths that they to some extent, must take personal responsibility, as they happened on their guard after they were informed about the state of the image, and criminal liability associated with these last 1000 deaths will be far more weighty than the 4000 first.

My accusation is nothing to bear light of the people who take themselves and their environment seriously, and though I for my part, far from it have anything personal against you (and although I will give you some time to respond to you ), I'm going to deliver a similar report of you as soon as I begin my sentence.

What then is gone so far that I have been thrown in prison for breaking laws that are criminal in nature, and if this detention is taking place without me at any time have had the opportunity to a defend on the grounds that the criteria for a defines fair trial, I will keep the nation's formal head responsible.

I am of course aware that, you currently, enjoy criminal immunity, but it is not my goal with this possible upcoming review to see you end your days in a prison. My point with the time from my side, be to hold you accountable for your contributions to the ban line and the police state, while it will be my way to mark the maximum distance from the assault unit as our government today represents.

I would also remind you that although this letter may seem a hard thing to deal with, so it is not the result of reluctance on my part. I have through the last three years trying to take advantage of my rights of the accused to confront the criminal nature of these campaigns, but since I'm all the way has been denied the opportunity to prove my claims - and the nation's leading public officials have proven Backless sufficient to woo blindly for the police state - things have now gone so far that I will have to make you responsible for the situation.

As you probably know, our society is built on a hypothetical contract between the state and individual, involving a number of sense-based rights and obligations as the two parties have agreed. This is what one might call "social contract", but because the state power on their side, through the judicial process, not only has consistently disregarded its obligations, but also the entire time has denied me my rights as a citizen, see nor do I any reason to recognize my "duties" to the state.

(This is a natural consequence of the governmental representatives have now shown that they do not enforce the laws seriously, and I may not, as a citizen, take state power seriously).

I can tell you that I will continue to live my life as if I do not recognize the drug laws, and I will also continue to report public officials who enforce the drug laws blindly. I come at all, as a citizen, to continue to do what I can (by peaceful means, of course) to fight the police state you at the present time is a figurehead for, and it will now be up to you to decide how difficult the Norwegian government explanation problem in retrospect will be.

However, I have confidence that you are responsible, and expect that you will make your choice so that Norway can once again emerge as a decent state.

I wish you so, good luck with your work, and look forward to a response from you.

Sincerely,

Roar Mikalsen